

**MINUTES**


**689<sup>th</sup> MEETING**


**STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT  
ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY-TAMIL NADU**

**Date: 12.01.2024**

**MINUTES OF THE 689<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF THE STATE LEVEL ENVIRONMENT IMPACT  
ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY HELD ON 12.01.2024.**

Agenda No	Description	File No.	Minutes
a)	Confirmation of the minutes of the 688 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Authority held on 10.01.2024.		The minutes of the 688 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Authority held on 10.01.2024 was confirmed.
b)	The Action taken on the decisions of the 688 <sup>th</sup> meeting of the Authority held on 10.01.2024.		The Member Secretary informed that 688 <sup>th</sup> Minutes uploaded in Parivesh website and action taken report will be putup ensuing meeting.
1.	Proposed construction of 1024 Nos. of dwelling unit at S.F.No.340/2B 1B, Gudapakkam Village, Poonmallee Taluk, Tiruvallur District Tamil Nadu by M/s. Tamil Nadu Urban Habitat Development Board (formerly known as M/s Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board) - For Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/NCP/28271/2017)	2451	<p>The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. SEAC has furnished its recommendations for granting Environmental Clearance under violation subject to the conditions stated therein.</p> <p>In view of the above, the authority decided to defer the proposal and to seek following details</p> <p>a) The amount prescribed for Ecological remediation (Rs. 1,97,21,250), natural resource augmentation (Rs. 78,88,500) &amp; community resource augmentation (Rs. 1,18,32,750), totaling Rs. 3,94,42,500/- shall be remitted in the form of bank guarantee to Tamil Nadu Pollution Control board, before obtaining Environmental Clearance and submit the acknowledgement of the same to SEIAA-TN. The funds should be utilized for the remediation plan, Natural resource augmentation plan &amp; Community resource augmentation plan as indicated in the EIA/EMP report.</p>

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			<p>b) The amount specified as CER (Rs. 23 Lakhs) shall be spent for the benefits of the beneficiary before issue of EC for the activities suggested by the SEAC during the appraisal. A copy of receipt from the beneficiary shall be submitted before issue of EC.</p> <p>The SEIAA shall initiate the credible action under the provisions of Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 as per MOEF&amp;CC Notifications Dt: 14.03.2017 &amp; 08.03.2018</p>
2.	Proposed Construction of Residential Complex consisting of 204 residential flats at S.Nos. 54/1(P), 2(P) & 3(P) and 55/2(P), 3(P) & 7(P) and T.S.No. 10, Block No.16 of 4th Avenue, Indira Nagar, Thiruvanmiyur Village, Mylapore – Triplicane Taluk, Chennai District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Tamil Nadu Housing Board - For Environmental Clearance under violation category. (SIA/TN/MIN/133891/2019)	6641	<p>The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. During the meeting, the proponent was absent and hence the SEAC decided to defer the proposal.</p> <p>The authority noted the minutes of SEAC.</p>
3.	Proposed to expand its existing manufacturing unit of M/s. Cheenu Amma Alloy (P) Ltd MS Billets/Ingots (1800 TPM to 6600 TPM) and planned to produce Steel Rolled Products (6300 TPM) at S.F.Nos. 367/1, Appanaickenpatti Village, Sulur Taluk, Coimbatore	6705	<p>The authority noted that the subject was appraised in 432<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2022. The EIA Coordinator informed that they are awaiting instructions from the PP and requested that the proposal may be deferred. SEAC, therefore, decided to defer the proposal.</p>

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

	<p>District, Tamil Nadu – For Terms of Reference. (SIA/TN/IND/30694/2019)</p>		<p>After detailed discussions, the authority noted that, earlier the proposal was placed in the 126<sup>th</sup> SEAC Meeting held on 26.02.2019.</p> <p><i>“Based on the presentation made by the proponent and the documents furnished, the SEAC decided that the industry has potential to cause pollution in the form of gaseous emission, effluents, hazardous waste and noise, SEAC decided to make an on- the- spot inspection of the industrial operation to assess the correct status of compliances of Environmental pollution control and based on the inspection, SEAC will decide the further course of action”.</i></p> <p>During discussions SEIAA noticed that, the above decision of earlier minutes of 126<sup>th</sup> SEAC Meeting held on 26.02.2019 was missing in the current minutes of 432<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting. The action needed on this may be mentioned.</p> <p>In view of these, the authority decided to refer back the proposal to SEAC for re-appraisal on the above said points.</p>
4.	<p>Proposed construction of Residential Group Development at Survey Numbers: 67/4B, 67/5, of Koppur Village, Thiruvallur Taluk, Thiruvallur District, Tamilnadu by M/s Urban Tree Infrastructures Private Limited – For Environment Clearance. (SIA/TN/MIS/114465/2019)</p>	7113	<p>The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023 and during presentation the Project proponent and EIA coordinator informed that this project has been dropped and requested for the withdrawal. In view of the above, SEAC decided to accept the PP request for withdraw of online proposal no. SIA/TN/MIS/114465/2019 Dt: 14.08.2019. SEAC, therefore, decided to recommend to SEIAA to close and record the file on receipt of online request from the PP/EIA coordinator.</p>

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			In view of the above, the Authority noted that the proponent has not submitted request for withdraw through online. Hence, SEIAA decided consider the SEAC recommendation to accept the PP request for withdraw after the receipt of online request from the PP/EIA coordinator
5.	Proposed Expansion of Educational Institution Buildings S.F. No. 232/3, 232/4A, 232/8A, 232/8B, 232/8C, 239/4, 239/6P, 239/6Q, 239/6R, 239/6S, 239/6T, 239/8A, 239/8B, 239/8C, 239/8D, 239/8E, 241/2, 241/3A, 241/3B, 242/1A, 242/1B, 242/2A, 242/2B, 242/2C, 242/2D, 242/3C, 242/4B, 242/5C, 242/5E, 242/5F, 242/6A, 243/3, 243/4, 243/5, 243/6A, 243/6B, 252/5A, 252/5B, 252/5C, 252/5D, 252/6, 257/1A, 257/1B, 257/1C, 257/1D, 257/1E, 237/7, 237/8, 242/5D, 242/5G, 233, 234/5, 237/1B, 237/2C, 237/3, 237/9B of Irungalur Village, S.F. No. 308/14, 310/10B, 310/10C, 310/11, 310/12A, 310/12C, 310/15, 310/2, 310/3B, 310/4, 310/7A, 310/7B, 310/8A2A, 310/8A2B, 313/10B, 313/6F, 313/9C, 310/17, 310/18B, 310/12B, 310/18A, 310/18C, 311/1, 313/1A, 313/1B, 313/2A, 313/3A, 313/3B, 313/4A, 313/4B, 313/4C, 313/6C, 313/6D, 313/6E, 313/6G, 313/7B, 313/83	9080	The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023 and During presentation the Project proponent and EIA coordinator has requested additional time to submit the additional details called for and SEAC, therefore, decided to defer the proposal.  In view of the above, the authority noted the 432 <sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

	08/10, 308/12, 307/2B, 307/4, 307/6, 307/11, 307/12A, 307/18, 307/9, 307/21, 307/8, 307/12B, 307/3A, 307/3B, 307/7A, 307/7B, 307/10A, 307/10B, 307/10C, 307/10D, 307/20A, 313/9B, 307/20B, 307/16, 310/1, 313/9D, 315/1, 313/9E, 313/7A, 313/10A, 313/9A, 314/1B, 315/5B2, 315/5A Ayakudi Village and S.F. No. 39/3, 39/4, 39/5, 39/6, 39/7 of Samaya Puram Village, Manachanallur Taluk, Tiruchirapalli District by M/s. SRM Institute of Science & Technology – For Terms of Reference. (SIA/TN/MIS/72970/2022)		
6.	Environmental Clearance issued to M/s. ADD Albatross Properties Pvt Ltd., for the Construction of Residential Building at Survey Numbers: 12/2A, 3A & 7/3 of Egattur Village, Chengalpet Taluk, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu- Applied for Amendment (SIA/TN/MIS/150464/2020)	510	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. During the meeting the EIA Coordinator has requested for additional time and SEAC, therefore, decided to defer the project.  In view of the above, the Authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the SEAC minutes to the project proponent held on 20.12.2023.
7.	Proposed Rough Stone & Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 1.00.0 Ha at S.F.Nos. 165/5(Part), Uttathur Village, Lalgudi Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District, Tamil Nadu by Tvl. C S Mines - For	8675	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. During the meeting, the EIA Coordinator and Project Proponent has requested for withdrawal of the application as the mine lease has been cancelled by the AD (G&M), Trichy. The Committee decided to

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

	Environmental Clearance (SIA/TN/MIN/220891/2021)		accept the withdrawal request of the Project Proponent and communicate the same to SEIAA.  After detailed discussions, the authority decided to accept the request for withdrawal of online proposal No. SIA/TN/MIN/220891/2021. Dt: 26.07.2021 considering the proponent's request vide 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023.  In view of the above, authority has decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the said decision of the authority to the project proponent. Hence, the file may be closed & recorded
8.	Proposed Rough Stone Quarry over an area of 1.00.0 Ha at Survey Nos. 249/1 of Veppilai Village, Kadaiyampatti Taluk, Salem District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru.R.Munirathinam for Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/MIN/258888/2022)	9053	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. Hence the subject was deferred. In view of the above, the Authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the SEAC minutes to the project proponent held on 20.12.2023
9.	Expansion of IT Park by M/s. ETA Technopark Limited at S. Nos. 95/1, 95/2, 95/3, 95/4, 95/5, 96/1, 96/2A, 96/2B, 97/1A1, 97/1A2, 97/1A3 97/1B, 98, 99/3A, 99/3B, 99/3C, 99/4A, 99/4B1, 99/4B2, 99/4C, 86/2A1, 86/2A2, 86/2A3, 86/2A4, 86/2A5A, 86/2A6A, 103/1A2, 103/1A3, 103/1B2C, 103/3, 103/1B4, 103/1A1A, 103/1B1B. 103/1A1B 103/ 1B1C, 106, 107/1A, 107/1B, 107/2, 108, 109/1A, 109/1B, 109/2A,109/2B, 109/3,110,	6491	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. During the meeting the EIA coordinator stated that the project proponent has decided not to go ahead with the proposed expansion. SEIAA may accept the withdrawal request as and when received from the PP.  After detailed discussions, Authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to obtain present status of the project from the TNPCB.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

	111/1A1, 111/1A2, 111/1B, 111/2, 111/3, 112/1, 112/2A, 112/2B, 112/3, 113/1, 113/2A, 113/2B, 118/1B, 119, 120/1, 120/2, 121/1A, 121/1B, 121/2, 143/1, 144 & 145 of Navalur Village, Thiruporur Taluk, Kancheepuram District in the state of Tamil Nadu - For Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/MIS/35497/2017)		
10.	Proposed Manufacturing and Light Engineering Assembly Units by M/s. Volumnus Developers Private Limited for manufacturing and assembling of Auto ancillary parts, electronic parts, Tools and Equipments in S.No. 22/1, 22/2A1, 22/2C, 24/1, 24/2, 28/1, 28/2, 29/1, 29/2, 30/1A1, 30/1B, 30/2A, 30/3A, 31/1B, 31/2A1, 31/28, 32, 51/1, 51/2, 52/1B, 52/2A, 52/3A, 52/4A, 52/4B, 64/3, 52/1A, 66/1p, 67/1A, 68/1, 69/1A, 69 /2A, 70/1A, 70/1B, 70/2A, 71/1, 71/2, 71/3A(p), 71/3B, 71/3C, 71/3D, 71/3E1, 71/3E2, 71/3E3, 72 pt, 73 pt, 1026 pt of Vadakupattu village and S. Nos. 14/2 Pt, 14/3, 14/4, 14/7 Pt, 14/8, 15/1 Pt, 15/2 Pt, 15/3A, 15/4A, 15/5B1 Bahadarvadi Villages, Sriperumbudur Taluk, Kancheepuram District - for	6519	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. During the meeting the Committee found that the project proponent has already obtained Environmental Clearance vide Letter No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.7031/EC/8(a)/854/2022 dated: 11.07.2022 under Schedule 8(a) of EIA Notification, 2006 for the same subject. Hence, this file may be closed and recorded.  In view of the above, authority has decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the said decision of the Committee to the project proponent. Hence, the file may be closed & recorded.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN



	Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/NCP/ 28407/2018)		
11.	Proposed residential building project at T.S. No. 6/1A Pt, 6/9 Pt & 6/1B, (S.F. No. 299 Pt) Block No.2, Ward No. Y (25), Vilankurichi Village, Coimbatore North Taluk, Coimbatore District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. Arun G. Bafna & Rajesh K. Bafna - For Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/MIS/147887/2020)	5782	The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. According to consultant, the proposal has been dropped by the project proponent due to change in Management. Hence, the SEAC decided that this project proposal may be closed.  In view of the above, the Authority accepted the decision of SEAC and decided to close and record the proposal. Hence, this file may be closed and recorded.
12.	Proposed Co-Generation Power Plant at S.F.Nos. 1/2B, 33/5 at Santhangadu & Alinjivalikkam Village of Madhavaram Taluk, Tiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Kothari Petro Chemicals Limited -For Terms of Reference. (SIA/TN/THE/34736/2019)	6869	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. The Project Proponent vide letter dated:17.09.2020 has requested for withdrawal of the application stating the following  <i>"... We, M/s. Kothari petrochemicals limited at S.F 1/2B,33/5 Santhangadu Village, Alinjivakkam Village, Madhavaram Taluk, Tiruvallur District had submitted application for EC on 08.03.2019 for the proposed change of fuel 100% coal in the existing cogeneration power plant. Our unit is of capacity 2 MW and this project activity falls under below 5MW Category plant as per schedule No.1 (d) &amp; Category B under EIA Notification 2006 &amp; its latest amendment. As this 2 MW Capacity plant does not require Environmental Clearance, we would like to Withdraw the EC application".</i>  The SEAC decided that SEIAA may accept the withdrawal application of the PP and close the

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			<p><b>file.</b></p> <p>After detailed discussions, Authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to obtain present status of the project from the TNPCB.</p>
13.	<p>Proposed Construction of hospital building and research institute (Under Violation) at S. F. No. 284, 287, 309/1, 310/1, 310/2, 311, 312, 313, 316, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324,335/1, 2A, 2B, 336/1, 2, 3, 4A, 4B, 337, 338, 339, 340, 342/1&amp;2, 343, 344/3A, 3B, 3C, 3D of Thandalam Village &amp; 325/6A, 325/7B, 350/2B of Kovur Village, Sriperumpudur Taluk, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Madha Medical College And Research Institute - For Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/MIS/ 225193/ 2021)</p>	8794	<p>The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. Hence the subject was not taken up for discussion and the project proponent shall furnish the reason for his absence.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the SEAC minutes to the project proponent held on 20.12.2023.</p>
14.	<p>Proposed construction for Development of Tamil Nadu commerce Hub at S.F.No. New Survey No. - 6745, 3864 &amp; 3867, Block No. -143 &amp; 76at Nandanam Village, Nandanam Taluk, Chennai District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Tamil Nadu Housing Board - For Environmental Clearance. (SIA/N/MIS/178157 /2020)</p>	7948	<p>The Authority noted that the subject was placed in the 432<sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 20.12.2023. The PP informed the Committee that they wish to withdraw the application seeking Environmental Clearance as the proposed project activity has been dropped. The SEAC accepted the withdrawal request of the PP and directed the PP to file the withdrawal request through PARIVESH portal at once. <b>The Authority may close and record the file.</b></p> <p>The Authority after detailed discussions decided to accept the withdrawal request of PP on receipt the</p>

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN


			same through PARIVESH and to close and record the file as recommended by SEAC.
15.	Proposed Multi Colour Granite quarry lease over an extent of 21.85.0Ha in S.F. No: 76, Sivanthipuram Village, Ambasamudram Taluk, Tirunelveli District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Tamil Nadu Minerals Limited- For Terms of Reference. (SIA/TN/MIN/12143/2016)	5301	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. Hence the subject was not taken up for discussion and the project proponent shall furnish the reason for his absence.  In view of the above, the Authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the SEAC minutes to the project proponent held on 20.12.2023
16.	Existing Multi-Coloured Granite quarry at S.F.No. 227/1-C, Therkukalladaikurichi Village, Ambasamudram Taluk, Tirunelveli District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Tamil Nadu Minerals Limited- For Terms of Reference. (SIA/TN/MIN/12147/2016)	5302	The Authority noted that the subject was placed in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the project proponent was again absent for the meeting. Hence the Committee decided to defer the subject to a later date directing the project proponent to furnish the reason for not attending the meeting.
17.	Proposed construction of Perambalur SIPCOT Apparel Park at S.F. No. 13/1, 13/2, 13/3, etc., of Padalur (East) Village & 289/1 & 299/3 of Irur Village, Alathur Taluk, Perambalur District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. State Industries Promotion Corporation of Tamil Nadu - For Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/NCP/60183/2016)	5997	The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. The consultant (HECS) has sent a mail stating that SIPCOT has decided to drop the Apparel Park project and they will withdraw the application. The SEAC, therefore, decided that SEIAA may accept the request of SIPCOT as and when received and close the file.  The authority noted that the proponent has not yet submitted a request to withdraw the proposal in the PARIVESH Portal. Hence, the authority decided to

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			accept the withdrawal request of the proponent after submission of the same in the PARIVESH Portal.
18.	Proposed Construction of M/s. St. Xavier's Medical College and Hospital at R.S.No.100/1,2,3& 101/1pt of Alloor & Chunkankadai Village, Kalkulam Taluk, Kanyakumari District, Tamil Nadu - For Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/NCP/33528/2015)	4969	The authority noted that this proposal was placed for appraisal in 432 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. Hence the subject was not taken up for discussion and the project proponent shall furnish the reason for his absence.  In view of the above, the authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the SEAC minutes to the project proponent held on 20.12.2023.
19.	Proposed project for construction of 4 Groynes at Kallamozhi Village, Tiruchendur Taluk, Thoothukudi District proposed by M/s. The Executive Engineer, PWD/WRD, Korampallam Aru Basin Division, Sivan Kovil Street, Thoothukudi - For CRZ Clearance - Reg. (SIA/TN/MIS/31477/2015)	5991	The authority noted that this proposal was placed for appraisal in 432 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. Hence the subject was not taken up for discussion and the project proponent shall furnish the reason for his absence.  In view of the above, the authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the SEAC minutes to the project proponent held on 20.12.2023
20.	Proposed construction of 4 Groynes (G1 - 370m, G2 - 170m, G3 - 75m & G4 - 50m) at Veerpandianpattinam Village, Tiruchendur Taluk, Thoothukudi District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. The Executive Engineer, PWD/WRD, Korampallam Aru Basin Division, Sivan Kovil Street,	5992	The authority noted that the subject was appraised in 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. The proponent has not turned up for the meeting. Hence the SEAC decided to defer the proposal.  In view of the above, the Authority accepted the decision of SEAC and decided to request Member Secretary

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

	Thoothukudi - for Clearance under CRZ Notification 2011 (SIA/TN/MIS/31476/2015)		SEIAA to communicate the minutes of 432 <sup>nd</sup> SE meeting to the project proponent
21.	Existing Distillery Unit with the capacity of 60 KLPD at S.F.No. 379/2, 380/2B, 382/2, 384, 455/3, 455/4, Udumbiyum Village, Veppanthattai Taluk, Perambalur District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Sugars Private Limited (Distillery Unit) - For Amendment of Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/IND2/42942/2008)	7370	The authority noted that this proposal was placed for appraisal in 432 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. Hence the subject was not taken up for discussion and the project proponent shall furnish the reason for his absence.  In view of the above, the authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the SEAC minutes to the project proponent held on 20.12.2023
22.	Proposed Rough Stone quarry lease over an extent of 1.00.0Ha at S.F.No.394(Part-1) of Muthusamipuram Village, Rajapalayam Taluk, Virudhunagar District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru.M.Sundaramoorthi- Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/MIN/216396/2021)	8590	The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023 and During presentation the Project proponent and EIA coordinator requested additional time for furnishing additional particulars in regard to SMTR and SEAC decided to defer the proposal.  In view of the above, the authority noted the 432 <sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023
23.	Existing Quartz and Feldspar Mine over an extent of 2.61.0Ha at S.F. No. 582/4B, 594/1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7 & 8 in Amayapuram Village, Manaparai Taluk, Tiruchirappali District, by M/s. Chettinad Morimura Semiconductor Material Pvt Ltd - ToR (under violation category)	5447	The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023 and after detailed discussions, the Authority accepts the recommendation of SEAC and decided to grant Terms of Reference (ToR) under violation category under cluster for undertaking the combined Environment Impact Assessment Study and preparation of separate Environment Management Plan subject to the conditions as recommended by

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			SEAC & normal conditions & the conditions mentioned in 'Annexure B' of this minute
24.	Proposed Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 4.58.5 Ha at S.F.No. 365/1A & 365/2 Metrathi Village, Madhathukulam Taluk, Tiruppur District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru.A Ravuthar for Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/MIN/271908/2022)	9269	The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023 and During presentation the Project proponent and EIA coordinator has informed that this project has been dropped and requested for the withdraw of this project and committed to give request for withdraw through online. In view of the above, SEAC decided to accept the PP request for withdraw of online proposal SIA/TN/MIN/271908/2022, Dt.09.05.2022. SEAC, therefore, decided to recommend to SEIAA to close and record the file after obtaining affidavit from the project proponent.  In view of the above, the Authority noted that the proponent has not submitted request for withdraw through online. Hence, SEIAA decided consider the SEAC recommendation to accept the PP request for withdraw after the receipt of online request from the PP/EIA coordinator
25.	Existing Black Granite quarry over an extent of 4.95.0 Ha at S.F.No. 433/6 of Vettavalam Village, Thiruvannamalai Taluk, Thiruvannamalai District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Enterprising Exporters for grant of Terms of References "Under Violation". (SIA/TN/MIN/23694/2018)	4405	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC carefully examined the documents furnished by the project proponent, SEAC decided to request the SEIAA to write to the concerned AD (Mines), Dept of Geology & Mining with a copy forwarded to the Commissioner of Geology and Mining, Chennai for the following queries.  1. Original pit dimension of the existing quarry 2. Quantity achieved Vs Approved Quantity

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN


			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. Balance Quantity as per Mineable Reserve calculated.</li> <li>4. Month wise Production details</li> <li>5. Mined out Depth as on date Vs Permitted depth</li> <li>6. Details of illegal/illicit mining carried out, if any</li> <li>7. Non-compliance/Violation in the quarry during the past working.</li> <li>8. Quantity of material mined out outside the mine lease area (or) in the adjacent quarry/land.</li> <li>9. Existing condition of Safety zone/benches</li> <li>10. Details of any penalties levied on the PP for any violation in the quarry operation by the Department of Geology and Mining</li> </ol> <p>Upon the receipt of the responses for the above, the SEAC may deliberate and decide further course of action in this proposal.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Authority after detailed deliberation accepts the decision of SEAC. Further, SEIAA decided to request the MS-SEIAA to write a letter to the concerned AD (Mines), Dept of Geology &amp; Mining with a copy forwarded to the Commissioner of Geology and Mining, Chennai for the above queries raised by the Committee in its 432<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023.</p>
26.	Existing Limestone Quarry Lease over an extent of 4.56.0Ha located at S.F.Nos. 380/1, 385/1, 386/1, 386/2 & 386/3 of K. Pitchampatti Village, Karur Taluk, Karur District, Tamil	6330	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC carefully examined the documents furnished by the project proponent, SEAC decided to request the SEIAA to write to the concerned AD (Mines),

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

<p>Nadu by Thiru. E. Srinivasan – For Environmental Clearance under Violation. (SIA/TN/MIN/428201/2023)</p>	<p>Dept of Geology &amp; Mining with a copy forwarded to the Commissioner of Geology and Mining, Chennai and Regional Controller of Mines, Indian Bureau of Mines (IBM), Chennai for the following queries.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Original pit dimension of the existing quarry</li> <li>2. Quantity achieved Vs Approved Quantity</li> <li>3. Balance Quantity as per Mineable Reserve calculated.</li> <li>4. Month wise Production details</li> <li>5. Mined out Depth as on date Vs Permitted depth</li> <li>6. Details of illegal/illicit mining carried out, if any</li> <li>7. Non-compliance/Violation in the quarry during the past working.</li> <li>8. Quantity of material mined out outside the mine lease area (or) in the adjacent quarry/land.</li> <li>9. Existing condition of Safety zone/benches</li> <li>10. Details of any penalties levied on the PP for any violation in the quarry operation by the Department of Geology and Mining</li> </ol> <p>Upon the receipt of the responses for the above, the SEAC may deliberate and decide further course of action in this proposal.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Authority after detailed deliberation accepts the decision of SEAC. Further, SEIAA decided to request the MS-SEIAA to write a letter to the concerned AD (Mines), Dept of Geology &amp; Mining with a copy forwarded to the Commissioner of Geology and Mining, Chennai and Regional Controller of Mines, Indian Bureau of Mines (IBM), Chennai for the above queries raised by the Committee in its 432<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on</p>
---	--

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN




			20.12.2023
27.	proposed Earth quarry over an extent of 1.84.0 Ha located at S.F.Nos.231/14C, 232/7, 232/8, 232/20, 242/1 & 242/6 of Velakapuram Village, Uthukottai Taluk, Thiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru.R.Neruji -For Environmental Clearance (SIA/TN/MIN/202660/2021)	8472	<p>The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. During the meeting, the EIA Coordinator and Project Proponent has requested for withdrawal of the application stating the following,</p> <p><i>"... We have applied for the Earth quarry lease and the Precise area communication letter Granted on 22.02.2021 (Period of quarry lease six months from the execution of lease deed). The Mining plan got approval for the period of six months lease period in this circumstance. As per the Directorate of Geology and Mining Letter No. 7240/MM6/2019 Dated 30.07.2021 Passed an order in his order stating that No Permission shall be granted for quarrying Gravel, Earth etc., in Patta Lands for a period not less than one year. Considering the above order, the proposal is not eligible as on Date, Hence, we withdrawn this proposal. We planned to file a new application in the Department of Geology and Mining after changing the lease period. Based on that we request your good office to kindly accept our withdrawal request for the file no 8472 and do the needful"</i></p> <p><b>The Committee decided to accept the withdrawal request of the Project Proponent and communicate the same to SEIAA.</b></p> <p>After detailed discussions, the authority decided to accept the request for withdrawal of online proposal No. SIA/TN/MIN/202660/2021. Dt: 09.03.2021 considering the proponent's request vide 432<sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023.</p>

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			In view of the above, authority has decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the said decision of the authority to the project proponent. Hence, the file may be closed & recorded.
28.	Proposed Integrated 4GW Solar cell and Module Manufacturing plant at SF. Nos: 1641 pt, 1474 pt, 1475, 1476, 1477 pt, 1478 pt, 1479, 1480, 1481 pt, 1495 pt, 1642 pt, 1901 pt, 1903 pt, 1904 pt, 1905 pt, 1906 pt, 1907 pt, 1908, 1909, 1910 pt, 1911, 1912 pt, 1913 pt, 1914 pt, 1915 pt, 1916 pt, 1917 pt, 1922 pt, 1923 pt, 1924 pt, 1925 pt, 1926 pt, 1927 pt, 1931 pt, 1919 pt, 1921 pt (Plot No. A104, A105, A106, A107 & A108, A109) at SIPCOT Industrial park of Gangaikondan Village, Tirunelveli District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. TP Solar Limited- For Terms of Reference. (SIA/TN/INFRA2/454338/2023)	10573	The authority noted that this proposal was placed for appraisal in 432 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. Hence the subject was not taken up for discussion and the project proponent shall furnish the reason for his absence.  In view of the above, the authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the SEAC minutes to the project proponent held on 20.12.2023
29.	Existing Limestone mine over an extent of 2.24.0Ha in S.F.Nos. 232/2 & 232/3 of Alathur Village, Sankari Taluk, Salem District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Max mining syndicate -for Terms of Reference under Violation. (SIA/TN/MIN/27132/2018)	6204	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. Hence the subject was not taken up for discussion and the project proponent shall furnish the reason for his absence.  In view of the above, the Authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the SEAC minutes to the project proponent held on 20.12.2023


  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

30.	Existing Black Granite Quarry over an extent of 1.02.0Ha in S.F.Nos. 9/1B of Eriyur Village, Vanur Taluk, Villupuram District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. S. Baskar Babu -for Terms of Reference under Violation. (SIA/TN/MIN/27164/2017)	6582	The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> SEAC meeting held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the project proponent has not attended the meeting. Hence the subject was not taken up for discussion and the project proponent shall furnish the reason for his absence.  In view of the above, the Authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to communicate the SEAC minutes to the project proponent held on 20.12.2023
31.	Existing Limestone Mine over an extent of 4.96.5Ha at S.F.No. 154/2A, 54/4, 54/5C, 52/1A2, 52/1B, 52/5, 52/3A, 52/3B, 52/4A, 52/4B, 52/2, 49/4A, 49/4B, 49/5B, 54/3, 54/2B, 49/1 & 49/2 (Part) of T.Kokkulam Village, Thirumangalam Taluk, Madurai District, Tamil Nadu by Shri S. Natarajan, Proprietor, M/s. Sundeep Mineral Corporation - For Terms of Reference under violation category. (SIA/TN/MIN/27137/2018)	6205	The Authority noted that the subject was placed in the 432 <sup>nd</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 20.12.2023. The SEAC noted that the PP has sought additional time to engage a new EIA coordinator for his project proposal. The Committee agreed for the same and decided to take up the subject in one of the ensuing meetings.
32.	Proposed Rough Stone and Gravel lease area over an extent of Extent 1.31.0 Ha at S.F.No. 181/1B, 181/1C, 181/1D, 181/1E & 182/7B of Mallakottai Village, Singampunari Taluk, Sivagangai District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. A. Megavarnam,- For Environmental Clearance.	9884	The authority noted that earlier, the subject was placed in 623 <sup>rd</sup> Authority meeting held on 30.05.2023. The Authority noted that vide minutes dated 05.05.2023 of 376 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, the Committee has furnished its recommendations for the grant of Environmental Clearance to the project subject to the conditions stated therein.  After detailed discussion, SEIAA decided to obtain the following additional details from the PP.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

		<p>1. The project proponent shall furnish the certified compliance report issued by MoEF &amp; CC for its existing EC.</p> <p>The proponent vide letter dated 09.01.2024 has replied to the above details sought by SEIAA. In view of this, it was again placed in this 689<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on .01.2024.</p> <p>The Authority after examining the reply/details furnished by the proponent and also taking into account the recommendations of SEAC and also the safety aspects and to ensure sustainable, scientific and systematic mining, decided to grant Environmental Clearance for the quantity of <b>1,19,758 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone up to the ultimate depth of 35m below ground level and the annual peak production should not exceed 27,370 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone.</b> This is also subject to the conditions imposed by SEAC, normal conditions stipulated by MOEF&amp;CC in addition to the following conditions and the conditions in Annexure 'A' of this minutes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Keeping in view of MoEF&amp;CC's notification S.O.1533(E) dated.14.09.2006 and S.O. 1807(E) dated 12.04.2022, this Environmental Clearance is valid as per the approved mine plan period.</li> <li>2. The EC granted is subject to review by District Collector, Mines Dept. and TNPCB on completion of every 5 years and also during the mine plan period, till the project life so as to review the EC conditions and to ensure that they have all been adhered to and implemented.</li> </ol>
--	--	--

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

3. The project proponent shall submit a Certified Compliance Report obtained from IRO of MoEF&CC to the monitoring, regulatory and other concerned authorities including SEIAA, while seeking a renewal of the mining plan to cover the project life.
4. There should be regular monitoring of air quality, water quality, ground water level and noise quality and reports regarding the same should be submitted to TNPCB, SEIAA & IRO of MoEF&CC once in every 6 months.
5. The proponent shall strictly adhere to the mining plan and half yearly and annual returns shall be submitted to the Director of Geology and Mining Department with copy marked to TNPCB, SEIAA & IRO of MoEF&CC.
6. Biodiversity in and around the project area should be monitored frequently and detailed biodiversity report should be submitted every year to SEIAA & IRO of MoEF&CC.
7. The progressive and final mine closure plan including the green belt implementation and environmental norms should be strictly followed as per the EMP and as per the amount committed and approved in EC for EMP. Status of progressive mine closure and green belt implementation should be included in the half yearly compliance report submitted to TNPCB, SEIAA & IRO of MoEF&CC.
8. As per the OM vide F. No. IA3-22/1/2022-IA-III [E- 172624] Dated: 14.06.2022, the

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			<p>Project Proponents are directed to submit the six-monthly compliance on the environmental conditions prescribed in the prior environmental clearance letter(s) through newly developed compliance module in the PARIVESH Portal from the respective login.</p> <p>The amount allocated for EMP should be kept in a separate account and both the capital and recurring expenditures should be done year wise for the works identified, approved and as committed. The work &amp; expenditure made under EMP should be elaborated in the bi-annual compliance report submitted and also should be brought to the notice of concerned authorities during inspections.</p>
33.	Proposed Gravel and Laterite quarry lease over an extent of 1.36.8 Ha at S.F.Nos. 19/5A1B & 19/5A1C of Silambinathanpettai Village, Panruti Taluk, Cuddalore District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. D. Kadirvel – For Environmental Clearance. (SIA/TN/MIN/438025/2023)	10266	<p>The authority noted that earlier, the subject was placed in 665<sup>th</sup> Authority meeting held on 26.10.2023. The Authority noted that vide minutes dated 05.10.2023 of 413<sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC, the Committee has furnished its recommendations for the grant of Environmental Clearance to the project subject to the conditions stated therein.</p> <p>The Authority, after detailed discussions decided to consider the proposal after obtaining the following particulars from the project proponent:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What are the trees present inside the proposed quarry site?</li> <li>2. The PP shall enumerate the no. of trees with species name.</li> <li>3. The PP shall furnish NOC obtained from the Director, Agriculture Department that the</li> </ol>

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

removal of gravel & laterite will not affect the agriculture nearby area.

4. The PP shall furnish the name of native tree samplings (840 Nos.) to be planted in the proposed quarry site.
5. The PP shall furnish the details regarding where the existing trees present in the quarry site will be transplanted?

The proponent vide letter dated 08.01.2024 has replied to the above details sought by SEIAA. In view of this, it was again placed in this 689<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on .01.2024.

The Authority after examining the reply/details furnished by the proponent and also taking into account the recommendations of SEAC and also the safety aspects and to ensure sustainable, scientific and systematic mining, decided to grant Environmental Clearance for the quantity of 15,189 m<sup>3</sup> of Gravel and 3798 m<sup>3</sup> of Laterite up to the ultimate depth of 2m below from the existing ground profile and the annual peak production should not exceed 8026 m<sup>3</sup> of Gravel & 2007 m<sup>3</sup> of Laterite, for a period of two years only from the date of issue of EC. This is also subject to the conditions imposed by SEAC, normal conditions stipulated by MOEF&CC in addition to the following conditions and the conditions in Annexure 'A' of this minutes.

1. The Project Proponent shall safely transplant all the trees including aged trees which will be removed from the quarry site.
2. Keeping in view of MoEF&CC's notification S.O.1533(E) dated.14.09.2006 and S.O.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			<p>1807(E) dated 12.04.2022, this Environmental Clearance is valid as per the approved mine plan period.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. The EC granted is subject to review by District Collector, Mines Dept. and TNPCB on completion of every 5 years and also during the mine plan period, till the project life so as to review the EC conditions and to ensure that they have all been adhered to and implemented.</li> <li>4. The project proponent shall submit a Certified Compliance Report obtained from IRO of MoEF&amp;CC to the monitoring, regulatory and other concerned authorities including SEIAA, while seeking a renewal of the mining plan to cover the project life.</li> <li>5. There should be regular monitoring of air quality, water quality, ground water level and noise quality and reports regarding the same should be submitted to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC once in every 6 months.</li> <li>6. The proponent shall strictly adhere to the mining plan and half yearly and annual returns shall be submitted to the Director of Geology and Mining Department with copy marked to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</li> <li>7. Biodiversity in and around the project area should be monitored frequently and detailed biodiversity report should be submitted every year to SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</li> <li>8. The progressive and final mine closure plan including the green belt implementation and</li> </ol>
--	--	--	--

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN



			<p>environmental norms should be strictly followed as per the EMP and as per the amount committed and approved in EC for EMP. Status of progressive mine closure and green belt implementation should be included in the half yearly compliance report submitted to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</p> <p>9. As per the OM vide F. No. IA3-22/1/2022-IA-III [E- 172624] Dated: 14.06.2022, the Project Proponents are directed to submit the six-monthly compliance on the environmental conditions prescribed in the prior environmental clearance letter(s) through newly developed compliance module in the PARIVESH Portal from the respective login.</p> <p>10. The amount allocated for EMP should be kept in a separate account and both the capital and recurring expenditures should be done year wise for the works identified, approved and as committed. The work &amp; expenditure made under EMP should be elaborated in the bi-annual compliance report submitted and also should be brought to the notice of concerned authorities during inspections.</p>
34.	Proposed Ordinary Earth quarry (PWD Tank) lease over an extent of 3.15.0 Ha at S.F.Nos. 450A(Part) of Alapakkam Village, Uthukottai Taluk, Thiruvallur District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. R. Babu - For	10262	The Authority noted that the subject was placed in the 412 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 29.09.2023 and the SEAC has furnished its recommendations for the grant of Environmental Clearance to the project subject to the conditions stated therein.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

	<p>Environment Clearance, (SIA/TN/MIN/438165/2023)</p>	<p>After detailed discussions, the Authority decided to call for the following from PP:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Mine plan was approved in the year 2015 with validity of 55 days. Now the physical, biological and ecological features of the tank have changed. Hence the details of change in quantity of Earth need to be obtained from the AD/Mines.</li> <li>2. PP shall obtain letter from AD/Mines stating whether or not Earth has been removed from the project site as per the EC dated 23.10.2015.</li> <li>3. KML shows dense vegetation within what are species within. Are there any trees within. This may also be examined.</li> </ol> <p>The PP furnished the reply vide letter dated 08.01.2024. After detailed discussions, the Authority taking into account the recommendations of SEAC and also the safety aspects and to ensure sustainable, scientific and systematic mining, decided to <b>grant Environmental Clearance for the quantity of 28350 m<sup>3</sup> of Ordinary Earth by maintaining the depth of mining of 0.9m BGL as per the approved mining plan. This is also subject to the standard conditions as per Annexure - (I) of SEAC minutes, other normal conditions stipulated by MOEF&amp;CC &amp; all other specific conditions as recommended by SEAC in addition to the following conditions and the conditions in Annexure 'A' of this minutes.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>9. Keeping in view of MoEF&amp;CC's notification S.O.1533(E) dated.14.09.2006 and S.O.</li> </ol>
--	--	--

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN


			<p>1807(E) dated 12.04.2022, this Environmental Clearance is valid as per the approved mine plan period.</p> <p><b>10. The PP shall ensure that the thick vegetation of native species present in the mine lease area is not removed during the mining activity. This is for strict compliance.</b></p> <p>11. The EC granted is subject to review by District Collector, Mines Dept. and TNPCB on completion of every 5 years and also during the mine plan period, till the project life so as to review the EC conditions and to ensure that they have all been adhered to and implemented.</p> <p>12. The project proponent shall submit a Certified Compliance Report obtained from IRO of MoEF&amp;CC to the monitoring, regulatory and other concerned authorities including SEIAA, while seeking a renewal of the mining plan to cover the project life.</p> <p>13. There should be regular monitoring of air quality, water quality, ground water level and noise quality and reports regarding the same should be submitted to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC once in every 6 months.</p> <p>14. The proponent shall strictly adhere to the mining plan and half yearly and annual returns shall be submitted to the Director of Geology and Mining Department with copy</p>
--	--	--	---

  
**MEMBER SECRETARY**

  
**MEMBER**

  
**CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN**

		<p>marked to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</p> <p>15. Biodiversity in and around the project area should be monitored frequently and detailed biodiversity report should be submitted every year to SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</p> <p>16. The progressive and final mine closure plan including the green belt implementation and environmental norms should be strictly followed as per the EMP and as per the amount committed and approved in EC for EMP. Status of progressive mine closure and green belt implementation should be included in the half yearly compliance report submitted to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</p> <p>17. As per the OM vide F. No. IA3-22/1/2022-IA-III [E- 172624] Dated: 14.06.2022, the Project Proponents are directed to submit the six-monthly compliance on the environmental conditions prescribed in the prior environmental clearance letter(s) through newly developed compliance module in the PARIVESH Portal from the respective login.</p> <p>The amount allocated for EMP should be kept in a separate account and both the capital and recurring expenditures should be done year wise for the works identified, approved and as committed. The work &amp; expenditure made under EMP should be elaborated in the bi-annual compliance report submitted and also</p>
--	--	---

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			should be brought to the notice of concerned authorities during inspections.
35.	Proposed Gravel quarry over an extent of 2.07.0 Ha at S.F.Nos: 157/1, 157/2, 157/3 & 157/5 of Pallamparai Village, Senthamangalam Taluk, Namakkal District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Shri Palanimurugan Enterprises -For Environmental Clearance.	9960	<p>The Authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 387<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SEAC held on 28.06.2023 and SEAC has furnished its <b>recommendations for granting Environmental Clearance</b> subject to the conditions stated therein. Subsequently, The proposal was placed in the 637<sup>th</sup> Authority meeting held on 11.07.2023. After detailed discussions, the Authority decided to obtain additional details stated therein for further course of action. Now, the PP has submitted a reply vide letter dated:10.01.2024. The reply was placed in the 689<sup>th</sup> Authority meeting held on .01.2024.</p> <p>After detailed discussions, the Authority taking into account the recommendations of SEAC and also the safety aspects and to ensure sustainable, scientific and systematic mining, decided to grant <b>Environmental Clearance for the quantity of 17,210m<sup>3</sup> of Gravel up to the depth of 2m and the annual peak production should not exceed 5950m<sup>3</sup> of Gravel.</b> This is also subject to the conditions imposed by SEAC, normal conditions stipulated by MOEF&amp;CC in addition to the following conditions and the conditions in Annexure 'A' of this minutes.</p> <p>1. Keeping in view of MoEF&amp;CC's notification S.O.1533(E) dated.14.09.2006 and S.O. 1807(E) dated 12.04.2022, this Environmental Clearance is valid as per the approved mine plan period.</p>

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. The EC granted is subject to review by District Collector, Mines Dept. and TNPCB on completion of every 3 years and also during the mine plan period, till the project life so as to review the EC conditions and to ensure that they have all been adhered to and implemented.</li> <li>3. The project proponent shall submit a Certified Compliance Report obtained from IRO of MoEF&amp;CC to the monitoring, regulatory and other concerned authorities including SEIAA, while seeking a renewal of the mining plan to cover the project life.</li> <li>4. There should be regular monitoring of air quality, water quality, ground water level and noise quality and reports regarding the same should be submitted to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC once in every 6 months.</li> <li>5. The proponent shall strictly adhere to the mining plan and half yearly and annual returns shall be submitted to the Director of Geology and Mining Department with copy marked to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</li> <li>6. Biodiversity in and around the project area should be monitored frequently and detailed biodiversity report should be submitted every year to SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</li> <li>7. The progressive and final mine closure plan including the green belt implementation and environmental norms should be strictly followed as per the EMP and as per the amount committed and approved in EC for EMP. Status of progressive mine closure and</li> </ol>
--	--	---

  
MEMBER SECRETARY


  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			<p>green belt implementation should be included in the half yearly compliance report submitted to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</p> <p>8. As per the OM vide F. No. IA3-22/1/2022-IA-III [E- 172624] Dated: 14.06.2022, the Project Proponents are directed to submit the six-monthly compliance on the environmental conditions prescribed in the prior environmental clearance letter(s) through newly developed compliance module in the PARIVESH Portal from the respective login.</p> <p>The amount allocated for EMP should be kept in a separate account and both the capital and recurring expenditures should be done year wise for the works identified, approved and as committed. The work &amp; expenditure made under EMP should be elaborated in the bi-annual compliance report submitted and also should be brought to the notice of concerned authorities during inspections.</p>
36.	Proposed Construction S.F No.68/1, 3 to 11 of Kesaravaiyanpatti Village Tamil Nadu by M/s. Adi Parasakthi Charitable, Medical, Educational & Cultural Trust, Melmaruvathur -For Environmental Clearance.	1104	The authority noted that this proposal was placed for appraisal in 405 <sup>th</sup> meeting of SEAC held on 31.08.2023. The SEAC accepted the documents and details furnished by the project proponent and decided to recommend for release of bank guarantee to SEIAA. After detailed discussions, the Authority accepted the recommendation of SEAC and decided to communicate to TNPCB for release of bank guarantee

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

37.	Proposed Transfer of EC from Thiru. T. Rengarasu to Thiru.V.Ananthan (Managing Partner) ( M/s. Kairaasi Blue Metal) vide online proposal no. SIA/TN/MIN/456731/2023	9712	<p>This Subject was placed in the 689<sup>th</sup> authority meeting held on 12.01.2024, the Authority noted the following</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Earlier, the PP Thiru. T. Rengarasu has obtained Environmental Clearance (EC) from SEIAA-TN vide Lr. No.SEIAA-TN/F.No.9712/EC.No:5884/2023, dated:18.07.2023 (file no. 9712/2022) for the proposed Rough Stone &amp; Gravel Quarry lease over an extent of 3.38.0Ha S.F.No.384(P), 387/3 &amp; 387/4, Amayapuram Village, Manapparai Taluk, Tiruchirappalli District for production of 302860cu.m of Rough Stone &amp; 23288cu.m of Gravel and depth upto 20 BGL.</li> <li>ii) Now the PP has submitted request for transfer of EC from Thiru. T. Rengarasu to Thiru.V.Ananthan (Managing Partner) ( M/s. Kairaasi Blue Metal) vide online proposal no. SIA/TN/MIN/456731/2023 Dt:11.01.2024 and submitted following documents <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Registered partnership deed of M/s. Kairaasi Blue Metal.</li> <li>b) Registered sale Deed from Thiru. T. Rengarasu to Thiru.V.Ananthan (Managing Partner) ( M/s. Kairaasi Blue Metal).</li> <li>c) NOC from Thiru. T. Rengarasu (transferor)</li> <li>d) Undertaking from Thiru.V.Ananthan (Managing Partner) ( M/s. Kairaasi Blue Metal) confirming to all the terms and conditions laid in EC .</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
-----	---	------	--

MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN



e) AD, Dept. OF Geology & Mining, Trichy recommendation for name transfer vide RC.No. 567/2021/Mines Dt: 10.01.2024.


In view of the above, the Authority after detailed discussions, decided to grant name transfer from Thiru. T. Rengarasu to Thiru.V.Ananthan (Managing Partner) ( M/s. Kairaasi Blue Metal) for the Environmental Clearance (EC) issued vide Lr. No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.9712/EC. No:5884/2023, dated:18.07.2023 as requested by the project proponent subject to all the conditions stipulated and validity for the EC issued vide Lr. No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.9712/EC. No:5884/2023, dated:18.07.2023 remains unaltered.

38. Proposed Roughstone quarry over an extent of 3.35.0 Ha at S.F.Nos: S.F.No.588(Part),Alur Village, Hosur,Taluk, Krishnagiri District,Tamil Nadu by M/s. Adi Parasakthi Charitable, Medical, Educational & Cultural Trust, Melmaruvathur,-For Environmental Clearance.  
(SIA/TN/MIN/431139/2023)


10090

The PP has requested for re-appraisal of file vide letter dated 10.01.24 stating NGT (SZ) court order (Appeal No. 36 of 2023), which states that,  
*".....We are of the view that the impugned order dated 07.08.2023 and subsequent rejection order dated 19.09.2023 are set aside and the matter is remitted back to the 1st respondent for fresh consideration of the proposal and pass appropriate order by granting a opportunity of personal hearing to the appellant".*

In the view of the above, Authority after detailed deliberation decided to forward the court direction to SEAC further course of action and direction.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

39.

proposed Amendment in Environmental Clearance for construction project S.F.No 1/1,3,4/1,4/2,4/3,4/4,5,7/4B,13/2A,14/1A,14/5A1,15/2A,15/2B,18,19/2A2,19/2B,20/1,20/2B,21/1,21/2,22/1A,22/1B,22/2A,22/2B,23/1A,23/1B,23/1C,23/2,23/3A,23/3B,23/3C,23/4,24,25/1B1,25/1B2,25/1C,25/1D Egattur Village & Navalur Village Thiruporur Taluk, Chengalpattu District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Thiru M/s. Hiranandani Realtors Pvt. Ltd. (SIA/TN/MIS/218681/2021)

5856

The Authority noted that

1. Earlier Environmental Clearance was accorded vide Lr. No. SEIAA/TN/F.No.5856/EC/8 (b)/503/2016 dated 09.12.2016 for Construction of Township entitled "Upscale Township" by M/s. Hiranandani Realtors Pvt. Ltd. located at, S. No. 1/1, 3, 4/1, 4/2, 4/3, 4/4, 5, 7/4B, 13/2A, 14/1A, 14/5A1, 15/2A, 15/2B, 18, 19/2A2, 19/2B, 20/1, 20/2B, 21/1, 21/2, 22/1A, 22/1B, 22/2A, 22/2B, 23/1A, 23/1B, 23/1C, 23/2, 23/3A, 23/3B, 23/3C, 23/4, 24, 25/1B1, 25/1B2, 25/1C, 25/1D, 25/1E, 25/1F, 25/1G, 25/1H, 25/1I, 25/1J, 25/1K, 25/1L, 25/1M, 25/2A, 25/2B, 26/1A, 26/1B, 26/2, 27/1A, 27/1B, 27/2A, 27/2B, 28/1, 28/2, 28/3, 29/1, 29/2A1, 29/2B1, 29/3B, 31, 32, 33/1, 33/2, 34/1A, 34/1B, 35, 42, 43, 44/1, 44/2 of Egattur village 52/2C2 & 53/1A2 of Navalur Village, Thiruporur Taluk, Chengalpattu District.
2. Meanwhile, a letter was received from Chennai Hiranandani Welfare Association Dated: 20.03.2023 received by this office on 08.05.2023 regarding the non-compliance of the earlier issued EC conditions.

Hence, in the view of the above, Authority after detailed deliberation decided that Member Secretary, SEIAA-TN shall write letter to

  
MEMBER SECRETARY


  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			<p>(i) Integrated Regional Office, MoEF&amp;CC to inspect and report the site conditions and shall furnish the compliance of the earlier issued Environmental Conditions issued dated: 09.12.2016 to SEIAA-TN.</p> <p>(ii) Tamil Nadu pollution Control Board to furnish details to SEIAA-TN regarding the CTO issued and compliance of CTO conditions and EC conditions.</p> <p>Upon the receipt of the above report, further deliberation shall be done.</p>
40.	<p>proposed expansion construction project of Hotel Building by M/s. SAS Hotels and Enterprises in S.F.No. S.F.No. 358/1A, 2, 3A, 3B, 4, 5A, 5B. in Ayanpappakudi Village, Madurai south Taluk, Madurai District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. SAS Hotels &amp; Enterprises Limited.</p> <p>(SIA/TN/INFRA2/424873/2023)</p>	9976	<p>The proposal was earlier placed in the 398<sup>th</sup> SEAC Meeting held on 04.08.2023.</p> <p>Earlier the proposal was placed in 649<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 23.08.2023. Based on the presentation and documents furnished by the project proponent, SEAC decided to recommend the proposal for the grant of Environmental Clearance subject to the conditions stated therein. After detailed discussions, the Authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA-TN to obtain the details stated therein.</p> <p>Subsequently, the proponent vide letter dated: 21.12.2023 received by O/o SEIAA on 29.12.2023 submitted reply for the earlier sought details.</p> <p>Based on the above, the subject was again placed in 689<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 12.01.2024. Based on the documents submitted by the proponent, Authority after detailed deliberation decided to call for additional details as follows since the reply furnished by the proponent is inadequate</p>

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

- |  |  |  |   |
|--|--|--|---|
|  |  |  | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. As per the committee recommendations, the proponent shall obtain a permanent water commitment letter from local body/TWAD and the same shall be submitted and hence, the proponent's reply to utilise fresh water from approved water vendor cannot be accepted.</li> <li>2. The proponent shall furnish an affidavit regarding the proposal to utilise entire treated wastewater to be reused within the project site and in the OSR area. Further, the proponent shall obtain a NoC letter from the local body regarding the acceptance of utilizing 8 KLD within the OSR area.</li> <li>3. The proponent shall submit the fire NOC obtained from competent authority.</li> <li>4. Further, details regarding Children's play area within the proposed building premises either in the FSI area or non-FSI Area to be furnished and hence, the proponent's reply to consider children's play area in the OSR cannot be accepted. Since the OSR land has to handed over the local body.</li> <li>5. The proponent shall submit the details regarding the entry and exit of OSR area proposed.</li> <li>6. The proponent shall submit the details regarding the application submitted online vide <span style="float: right;">NOCID</span> –<br/>MADU/SOUTH/B/062923/766730 for<br/>Airports Authority of India Clearance.</li> </ol> |
|--|--|--|---|

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			Upon the receipt of the above details, further deliberation shall be done
41.	<p><b>Proposed Environmental clearance</b> Roughstone &amp; Gravel quarry over an extent of 3.04.5 ha of Patta land in S.F.Nos.225/1, 2, 8B, 8C, 8D, 241/3H, 3I, 5, 6, 7, 8 &amp; 242/9 (P) Pazhayaseevaram-A Village, Walajabad Taluk, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. Thiru. K. Kothandan (SIA/TN/MIN/428339/2023)</p>	10032	<p>The Authority noted the following</p> <p>The Proponent Thiru. K. Kothandan had earlier applied for Environmental Clearance for Proposed Rough Stone and gravel quarry lease area over an extent of Extent 3.07.5 Ha at S.F. No. 225/1, 2, 8B, 8C, 8D, 241/3H, 3I, 5, 6, 7, 8 &amp; 242/9(P) of Pazhayaseevaram-A Village, Walajabad Taluk, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu.</p> <p>Subsequently, Environmental Clearance was accorded to the proponent vide Lr. No. SEIAA-TN/F.No.10032/1(a)/EC. No: 6184/2023, dated: 07.11.2023.</p> <p>Meanwhile, a complaint was received from Power Grid vide Letter dated 04.01.2024 received by O/o SEIAA on 06.01.2024 with certain details called for and action to be taken from SEIAA which inter alia states as below</p> <p><i>".... We request your kind intervention in the matter and arrange to clarify us regarding any such mining permission issued. In case such mining permission is already issued, we request you to kindly consider our plea and cancel such permission so that the national power transmission assets stay protected and don't get affected due to any such blasting / mining activity. A reply clarifying our query would be appreciated."</i></p> <p>Hence, in the view of the above, Authority after detailed deliberation decided that</p> <p>1. The above complaint received from Power</p>

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

			<p>Grid shall be forwarded to SEAC and the same shall be examined and furnish the remarks to SEIAA in order to take further course of action.</p> <p>2. Meanwhile, Member Secretary – SEIAA-TN shall write a letter to Power Grid to convey the following</p> <p>(i) Regarding the Environmental Clearance accorded to the proponent Thiru. K. Kothandan for Proposed Rough Stone and gravel quarry lease area over an extent of Extent 3.07.5 Ha at S.F. No. 225/1, 2, 8B, 8C, 8D, 241/3H, 3I, 5, 6, 7, 8 &amp; 242/9(P) of Pazhayaseevaram-A Village, Walajabad Taluk, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu.</p> <p>(ii) The complaint received from the Power Grid has been forwarded to SEAC to assess and examine the concerns raised by Power Grid regarding the safety of Tower No. 440 (D+6) of 400 kV Puducherry – Sunguvarchatram line. Upon the receipt of the remarks from SEAC, further course of action will be taken.</p> <p>(iii) The above letter shall be copy marked to District Collector and Commissioner, Geology and Mining.</p>
42.	Proposed Environmental clearance for Limestone quarry over an extent of 0.28.5 ha of Patta land in	6409	The Authority noted that the subject was earlier appraised in the 348 <sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 19.01.2023 and SEAC decided to reiterate its

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

<p>S.F.Nos. 256, Kallakudi Village, Lalgudi Taluk, Trichy District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. DALMIA CEMENT BHARAT LIMITED (SIA/TN/MIN/76806/2018)</p>	<p>recommendation already made in the 326<sup>th</sup> SEAC meeting held on 05.11.2022. All other conditions stipulated in the recommendation remain unchanged.</p> <p>Subsequently, the proposal was placed in 593<sup>rd</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 17.02.2023. After detailed discussion, the Authority decided to request the Member Secretary, SEIAA to inform the proponent to furnish the following particulars as recommended by SEAC in the Minutes of 326<sup>th</sup> SEAC Meeting held on 05.11.2022.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The amount prescribed for Ecological remediation (Rs. 0.75 Lakhs), natural resource augmentation (Rs. 0.50 lakhs) &amp; community resource augmentation (Rs. 0.50 Lakhs), totalling Rs. 1.75 Lakhs. Hence the SEAC decided to direct the project proponent to remit the amount of Rs. 1.75 Lakhs in the form of bank guarantee to Tamil Nadu Pollution Control Board and submit the acknowledgement of the same to SEIAA-TN. The funds shall be utilized for the remediation plan, Natural resource augmentation plan &amp; Community resource augmentation plan as indicated in the EIA/EMP report.</li> <li>2. The project proponent shall carry out the works assigned under ecological damage, natural resource augmentation and community resource augmentation within a period of six months. If not, the bank guarantee will be forfeited to TNPCB without further notice.</li> </ol>
---	---

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

3. The amount committed by the Project proponent for CER (Rs. 25.00 Lakhs which is common to both G.O 262 Lease) shall be remitted in the form of DD to the beneficiary for the activities committed by the proponent. A copy of receipt from the beneficiary shall be submitted to SEIAA-TN.
4. The project proponent shall submit the proof for the action taken by the state Government/TNPCB against project proponent under the provisions of Section 19 of the Environment (Protection) Ac, 1986 as per the EIA Notification dated: 14.03.2017 and amended 08.03.2018.
5. The company shall obtain 'No Dues Certificate' from State Government i.e. Department of Geology & Mining within a period of two weeks and submit the same to SEAC before grant of EC.

Subsequently, the proponent vide letter dated: 06.01.2024 received by O/o SEIAA on 08.01.2024 submitted reply for the earlier sought details.

Based on the above, the subject was again placed in 689<sup>th</sup> SEIAA meeting held on 12.01.2024. After detailed discussions, the Authority taking into account the recommendations of SEAC and also the safety aspects, and to ensure sustainable, scientific and systematic mining, SEIAA decided to grant **Environmental Clearance for the total ROM production of 34,261 tonnes which includes 32,325 tonnes of Limestone and 1,936 tonnes of Marl with an ultimate depth of mining 56 m below ground level and the annual peak production of 10,368**

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN



tonnes of Limestone and 1,936 tonnes of Marl. This is also subject to the conditions imposed by SEAC, normal conditions stipulated by MOEF&CC in addition to the following conditions and the conditions in Annexure 'A' of this minutes.

18. Keeping in view of MoEF&CC notification S.O.1533(E) dated.14.09.2006 and S.O. 1807(E) dated 12.04.2022, this Environmental Clearance is valid as per the approved mine plan period.

19. The EC granted is subject to review by District Collector, Mines Dept. and TNPCB on completion of every 5 years and also during the mine plan period, till the project life so as to review the EC conditions and to ensure that they have all been adhered to and implemented.

20. The project proponent shall submit a Certified Compliance Report obtained from IRO of MoEF&CC to the monitoring, regulatory and other concerned authorities including SEIAA, while seeking a renewal of the mining plan to cover the project life.

21. There should be regular monitoring of air quality, water quality, ground water level and noise quality and reports regarding the same should be submitted to TNPCB, SEIAA & IRO of MoEF&CC once in every 6 months.

22. The proponent shall strictly adhere to the mining plan and half yearly and annual returns shall be submitted to the Director of Geology and Mining Department with copy

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

		<p>marked to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</p> <p>23. Biodiversity in and around the project area should be monitored frequently and detailed biodiversity report should be submitted every year to SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</p> <p>24. The progressive and final mine closure plan including the green belt implementation and environmental norms should be strictly followed as per the EMP and as per the amount committed and approved in EC for EMP. Status of progressive mine closure and green belt implementation should be included in the half yearly compliance report submitted to TNPCB, SEIAA &amp; IRO of MoEF&amp;CC.</p> <p>25. As per the OM vide F. No. IA3-22/1/2022-IA-III [E- 172624] Dated: 14.06.2022, the Project Proponents are directed to submit the six-monthly compliance on the environmental conditions prescribed in the prior environmental clearance letter(s) through newly developed compliance module in the PARIVESH Portal from the respective login.</p> <p>26. The amount allocated for EMP should be kept in a separate account and both the capital and recurring expenditures should be done year wise for the works identified, approved and as committed. The work &amp; expenditure made under EMP should be elaborated in the bi-annual compliance report submitted and also</p>
--	--	---

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

should be brought to the notice of concerned authorities during inspections.

**43.(8412) proposed Corrigendum in Environmental clearance Roughstone & Gravel quarry over an extent of 4.27.4 Extent of 4.27.4 ha of Patta land in S.F.No. 469/1B, 469/2B, 469/3B, 469/4B, 469/5B, 469/6B, 476/1, 476/2, 476/3 & 476/4 of A.P.Nadanoor village, Alangulam Taluk, Tenkasi District,Tamil Nadu by M/s. Thiru. K RAJKUMAR(SIA/TN/MIN/431139/2023)**

As in EC dated.17.11.2023					Corrigendum requested				
S. No.	Name of the Lessee	Village and S.F. No	Ext ent in Ha.	Lease status	S. No.	Name of the Lessee	Village and S.F. No	Ext ent in Ha.	Lease status
<b>1. Existing Quarries:</b>					<b>1. Existing Quarries:</b>				
1	Thiru.Mohammed Mahaboob	A.P.Nadanoor (V) & S.F.Nos.4 34/4C, 4E, 4F,4G, 4I, 4J, 470/1, 471/2, 471/3, 472/1B & 472/1C	3.74 .5	Proceeding No.M1/447 36/2016, dt:20.03.20 18 for a period 5 Years from 16.04.2018 To 15.04.2023	1	Thiru.Mohammed Mahaboob	A.P.Nadanoor (V) & S.F.Nos.4 34/4C, 4E, 4F,4G, 4I, 4J, 470/1, 471/2, 471/3, 472/1B & 472/1C	3.74 .5	Proceeding No.M1/447 36/2016, dt:20.03.20 18 for a period 5 Years from 16.04.2018 To 15.04.2023
<b>Total Extent of Existing quarries</b>			<b>3.74 .5</b>		<b>Total Extent of Existing quarries</b>			<b>3.74 .5</b>	
<b>2. Abandoned quarries:</b>					<b>2. Abandoned quarries:</b>				
--- NIL ---					--- NIL ---				
<b>3. Proposed quarries:</b>					<b>3. Proposed quarries:</b>				
1	Thiru.K.Rajkumar,	A.P.Nadanoor (V) & S.F.Nos.4 34/4C, 4E, 4F,4G, 4I, 4J, 470/1, 471/2, 471/3,	3.74 .5	Proceeding No.M1/447 36/2016, dt:20.03.20 18 for a period 5 Years from 16.04.2018 To 15.04.2023	1	Thiru.K.Rajkumar,	A.P.Nadanoor (V) & S.F.Nos.4 34/4C, 4E, 4F,4G, 4I, 4J, 470/1, 471/2, 471/3,	4.27 .40	Proceeding No.M1/447 36/2016, dt:20.03.20 18 for a period 5 Years from 16.04.2018 To 15.04.2023

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

		472/1B & 472/1C.					472/1B & 472/1C.		
<b>Total Extent of Proposed quarries</b>		<b>4.27</b>	<b>.40</b>		<b>Total Extent of Proposed quarries</b>		<b>4.27</b>	<b>.40</b>	

**Annexure 'A'**

**a) EC Compliance**

1. The Environmental Clearance is accorded based on the assurance from the project proponent that there will be full and effective implementation of all the undertakings given in the Application Form, Pre-feasibility Report, mitigation measures as assured in the Environmental Impact Assessment/ Environment Management Plan and the mining features including Progressive Mine Closure Plan as submitted with the application.
2. All the conditions as presented by the proponent in the PPT during SEAC appraisal should be addressed in Full.
3. The proponent shall submit Compliance Reports on the status of compliance of the stipulated EC conditions including results of monitored data. It shall be sent to the respective Regional Office of Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change, Govt. of India and also to the Office of State Environment Impact Assessment Authority (SEIAA).
4. Concealing the factual data or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

**b) Applicable Regulatory Frameworks**

5. The project proponent shall strictly adhere to the provisions of Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991, along with their amendments, Minor Mineral Conservation & Development Rules, 2010 framed under MMDR Act 1957, National Commission for protection of Child Right Rules, 2006, Wildlife Protection Act, 1972, Forest Conservation Act, 1980, Biodiversity Conservation Act, 2016, the Biological Diversity Act, 2002, Biological diversity Rules, 2004 & TN Forest Act, 1882 and Rules made there under and also any other orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India/Hon'ble High Court of Madras and any other Courts of Law relating to the subject matter

**c) Safe mining Practices**

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

6. The AD/DD, Dept. of Geology & Mining shall ensure operation of the proposed quarry after the submission slope stability study conducted through the reputed research & Academic Institutions such as NIRM, IITs, NITS Anna University, and any CSIR Laboratories etc.
7. The AD/DD, Dept. of Geology & Mining & Director General of Mine safety shall ensure strict compliance and implementation of bench wise recommendations/action plans as recommended in the scientific slope stability study of the reputed research & Academic Institutions as a safety precautionary measure to avoid untoward accidents during mining operation.
8. A minimum buffer distance specified as per existing rules and statutory orders shall be maintained from the boundary of the quarry to the nearest dwelling unit or other structures, and from forest boundaries or any other ecologically sensitive and archeologically important areas or the specific distance specified by SEIAA in EC as per the recommendations of SEAC depending on specific local conditions.

**d) Water Environment – Protection and mitigation measures**

9. The proponent shall ensure that the activity does not disturb the water bodies and natural flow of surface and groundwater, nor cause any pollution, to water sources in the area.
10. The proponent shall ensure that the activities do not impact the water bodies/wells in the neighboring open wells and bore wells. The proponent shall ensure that the activities do not in any way affect the water quantity and quality in the open wells and bore wells in the vicinity or impact the water table and levels. The proponent shall ensure that the activities do not disturb the river flow, nor affect the Odai, Water bodies, Dams in the vicinity.
11. Water level in the nearest dug well in the downstream side of the quarry should be monitored regularly and included in the Compliance Report.
12. Quality of water discharged from the quarry should be monitored regularly as per the norms of State Pollution Control Board and included in the Compliance Report.
13. Rain Water Harvesting facility should be installed as per the prevailing provisions of TNMBR/TNCDBR, unless otherwise specified. Maximum possible solar energy generation and utilization shall be ensured as an essential part of the project.
14. Regular monitoring of flow rates and water quality upstream and downstream of the springs and perennial nallahs flowing in and around the mine lease area shall be carried out and reported in the compliance reports to SEIAA.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

15. Regular monitoring of ground water level and water quality shall be carried out around the mine area during mining operation. At any stage, if it is observed that ground water table is getting depleted due to the mining activity; necessary corrective measures shall be carried out.
16. Garland drains and silt traps are to be provided in the slopes around the core area to channelize storm water. De-silting of Garland canal and silt traps have to be attended on a daily basis. A labour has to be specifically assigned for the purpose. The proponent shall ensure the quality of the discharging storm water as per the General Effluent Discharge Standards of CPCB.

e) **Air Environment – Protection and mitigation measures**

17. The activity should not result in CO<sub>2</sub> release and temperature rise and add to micro climate alternations.
18. The proponent shall ensure that the activities undertaken do not result in carbon emission, and temperature rise, in the area.
19. The proponent shall ensure that Monitoring is carried out with reference to the quantum of particulate matter during excavation; blasting; material transport and also from cutting waste dumps and haul roads.

f) **Soil Environment – Protection and mitigation measures**

20. The proponent shall ensure that the operations do not result in loss of soil biological properties and nutrients.
21. The proponent shall ensure that activity does not deplete the indigenous soil seed bank and disturb the mycorrizal fungi, soil organism, soil community nor result in eutrophication of soil and water.
22. The activities should not disturb the soil properties and seed and plant growth. Soil amendments as required to be carried out, to improve soil health.
23. Bio remediation using microorganisms should be carried out to restore the soil environment to enable carbon sequestration.
24. The proponent shall ensure that the mine restoration is done using mycorrizal VAM, vermin-composting, Biofertilizers to ensure soil health and biodiversity conservation.
25. The proponent shall ensure that the topsoil is protected and used in planting activities in the area.
26. The proponent shall ensure that topsoil to be utilized for site restoration and Green belt alone within the proposed area.
27. The top soil shall be temporarily stored at earmarked place (s) and used for land reclamation and plantation. The over burden (OB) generated during the mining operations shall be stacked

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

at earmarked dump site(s) only. The OB dumps should be scientifically vegetated with suitable native species to prevent erosion and surface run off. At critical points, use of geotextile shall be undertaken for stabilization of the dump. Protective wall or gabions should be made around the dump to prevent erosion / flow of sediments during rains. The entire excavated area shall be backfilled.

28. Activities should not result in invasion of site by exotic and alien plant and animal species and disturb the native biodiversity and soil micro flora and fauna.

**g) Noise Environment – Protection and mitigation measures**

29. The peak particle velocity at 500m distance or within the nearest habitation, whichever is closer shall be monitored periodically as per applicable DGMS guidelines.

30. The sound at project sites disturb the villages in respect of both human and animal population. Consequent sleeping disorders and stress may affect the health in the villages located close to mining operations. Hence, the PP shall ensure that the biological clock of the villages are not disturbed because of the mining activity.

**h) Biodiversity - Protection and mitigation measures**

31. The proponent should ensure that there is no disturbance to the agriculture plantations, social forestry plantations, waste lands, forests, sanctuary or national parks. There should be no impact on the land, water, soil and biological environment and other natural resources due to the mining activities.
32. No trees in the area should be removed and all the trees numbered and protected. In case trees fall within the proposed quarry site the trees may be transplanted in the Greenbelt zone. The proponent shall ensure that the activities in no way result in disturbance to forest and trees in vicinity. The proponent shall ensure that the activity does not disturb the movement of grazing animals and free ranging wildlife. The proponent shall ensure that the activity does not disturb the biodiversity, the flora & fauna in the ecosystem. The proponent shall ensure that the activity does not result in invasion by invasive alien species. The proponent shall ensure that the activities do not disturb the resident and migratory birds. The proponent shall ensure that the activities do not disturb the vegetation and wildlife in the adjoining reserve forests and areas around.
33. The proponent shall ensure that the activities do not disturb the agro biodiversity and agro farms. Actions to be taken to promote agroforestry, mixed plants to support biodiversity conservation in the mine restoration effort.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

34. The proponent shall ensure that all mitigation measures listed in the EIA/EMP are taken to protect the biodiversity and natural resources in the area.

35. The proponent shall ensure that the activities do not impact green lands/grazing fields of all types surrounding the mine lease area which are food source for the grazing cattle.

**i) Climate Change**

36. The project activity should not in any way impact the climate and lead to a rise in temperature.

37. There should be least disturbance to landscape resulting in land use change, contamination and alteration of soil profiles leading to Climate Change.

38. Intensive mining activity should not add to temperature rise and global warming.

39. Operations should not result in GHG releases and extra power consumption leading to Climate Change.

40. Mining through operational efficiency, better electrification, energy use, solar usage, use of renewable energy should try to decarbonize the operations.

41. Mining Operation should not result in droughts, floods and water stress, and shortages, affecting water security both on site and in the vicinity.

42. Mining should not result in water loss from evaporation, leaks and wastage and should support to improve the ground water.

43. Mining activity should be flood proof with designs and the drainage, pumping techniques shall ensure climate-proofing and socio-economic wellbeing in the area and vicinity.

**j) Reserve Forests & Protected Areas**

44. The activities should provide nature based support and solutions for forest protection and wildlife conservation.

45. The project activities should not result in forest fires, encroachments or create forest fragmentation and disruption of forest corridors.

46. There should be no disturbance to the freshwater flow from the forest impacting the water table and wetlands.

47. The project proponent should support all activities of the forest department in creating awareness to local communities on forest conservation.

48. The project activities should not alter the geodiversity and geological heritage of the area.

49. The activities should not result in temperature rise due to increased fossil fuels usage disrupting the behaviour of wildlife and flora.

50. The activities should support and recognise the rights and roles of indigenous people and local communities and also support sustainable development.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN



51. The project activities should support the use of renewables for carbon capture and carbon storage in the project site and forest surrounds.
52. The project activities should not result in changes in forest structure, habitats and genetic diversity within forests.

**k) Green Belt Development**

53. The proponent shall ensure that in the green belt development more indigenous trees species (Appendix as per the SEAC Minutes) are planted.
54. The proponent shall ensure the area is restored and rehabilitated with native trees as recommended in SEAC Minutes (in Appendix).

**l) Workers and their protection**

55. The project proponent is responsible for implementing all the provisions of labour laws applicable from time to time to quarrying /Mining operations. The workers on the site should be provided with on-site accommodation or facilities at a suitable boarding place, protective equipment such as ear muffs, helmet, etc.
56. The proponent has to provide insurance protection to the workers in the case of existing mining or provide the affidavit in case of fresh lease before execution of mining lease.
57. The workers shall be employed for working in the mines and the working hours and the wages shall be implemented/enforced as per the Mines Act, 1952.

**m) Transportation**

58. No Transportation of the minerals shall be allowed in case of roads passing through villages/ habitations. In such cases, PP shall construct a bypass road for the purpose of transportation of the minerals leaving an adequate gap (say at least 200 meters) so that the adverse impact of sound and dust along with chances of accidents could be mitigated. All costs resulting from widening and strengthening of existing public road network shall be borne by the PP in consultation with nodal State Govt. Department. Transportation of minerals through road movement in case of existing village/ rural roads shall be allowed in consultation with nodal State Govt. Department only after required strengthening such that the carrying capacity of roads is increased to handle the traffic load. The pollution due to transportation load on the environment will be effectively controlled and water sprinkling will also be done regularly. Vehicular emissions shall be kept under control and regularly monitored. Project should obtain Pollution Under Control (PUC) certificate for all the vehicles from authorized pollution testing centers.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

59. The Main haulage road within the mine lease should be provided with a permanent water sprinkling arrangement for dust suppression. Other roads within the mine lease should be wetted regularly with tanker-mounted water sprinkling system. The other areas of dust generation like crushing zone, material transfer points, material yards etc. should invariably be provided with dust suppression arrangements. The air pollution control equipments like bag filters, vacuum suction hoods, dry fogging system etc. shall be installed at Crushers, belt-conveyors and other areas prone to air pollution. The belt conveyor should be fully covered to avoid generation of dust while transportation. PP shall take necessary measures to avoid generation of fugitive dust emissions.

**n) Storage of wastes**

60. The project proponent shall store/dump the waste generated within the earmarked area of the project site for mine closure as per the approved mining plan.

**o) CER/EMP**

61. The CER should be fully Implemented and fact reflected in the Half-yearly compliance report.

62. The EMP shall also be implemented in consultation with local self-government institutions & Govt. departments.

63. The follow-up action on the implementation of CER Shall be included in the compliance report.

**p) Directions for Reclamation of mine sites**

64. The mining closure plan should strictly adhere to appropriate soil rehabilitation measures to ensure ecological stability of the area. Reclamation/Restoration of the mine site should ensure that the Geotechnical, physical, chemical properties are sustainable that the soil structure composition is buildup, during the process of restoration.

65. The proponent shall ensure that the mine closure plan is followed as per the mining plan and the mine restoration should be done with native species, and site restored to near original status. The proponent shall ensure that the area is ecologically restored to conserve the ecosystems and ensure flow of goods and services.

66. A crucial factor for success of reclamation site is to select sustainable species to enable develop a self-sustaining eco system. Species selected should easily establish, grow rapidly, and possess good crown and preferably be native species. Species to be planted in the boundary of project site should be un palatable for cattle's/ goats and should have proven capacity to add leaf-litter to soil and decompose. The species planted should be adaptable to the site conditions. Should be preferably pioneer species, deciduous in nature to allow maximum leaf-

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

litter, have deep root system, fix atmospheric nitrogen and improve soil productivity. Species selected should have the ability to tolerate altered pit and toxicity of and site. They should be capable of meeting requirement of local people in regard to fuel fodder and should be able to attract bird, bees and butterflies. The species should be planted in mixed association.

67. For mining area reclamation plot culture experiments to be done to identify/ determine suitable species for the site.
68. Top soil with a mix of beneficial microbes (Bacteria/Fungi) to be used for reclamation of mine spoils. AM Fungi (Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi), plant growth promoting Rhizo Bacteria and nitrogen fixing bacteria to be utilized.
69. Soil and moisture conservation and water harvesting structures to be used where ever possible for early amelioration and restoration of site.
70. Top soil is most important for successful rehabilitation of mined sites. Topsoil contains majority of seeds and plant propagation, soil microorganism, Organic matter and plant nutrients. Wherever possible the topsoil should be immediately used in the area of the for land form reconstruction, to pre mining conditions.
71. Over burdens may be analyzed and tested for soil characteristics and used in the site for revegetation. Wherever possible seeds, rhizome, bulbs, etc of pioneering spices should be collected, preserved and used in restoring the site.
72. Native grasses seeds may be used as colonizers and soil binders, to prevent erosion and allow diverse self- sustaining plant communities to establish. Grasses may offer superior tolerance to drought, and climatic stresses.
73. Reclamation involves planned topographical reconstruction of site. Care to be taken to minimize erosion and runoff. Topsoils should have necessary physical, chemicals, ecological, properties and therefore should be stored with precautions and utilized for reclamation process. Stocked topsoil should be stabilized using grasses to protect from wind. Seeds of various indigenous and local species may be broad casted after topsoil and treated overburden are spread.
74. Alkaline soils, acidic soils, Saline soils should be suitably treated/amended using green manure, mulches, farmyard manure to increase organic carbon. The efforts should be taken to landscape and use the land post mining. The EMP and mine closure plan should provide adequate budget for re-establishing the site to pre-mining conditions. Effective steps should be taken for utilization of over burden. Mine waste to be used for backfilling, reclamation, restoration, and rehabilitation of the terrain without affecting the drainage and water regimes.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

The rate of rehabilitation should be similar to rate of mining. The land disturbed should be reshaped for long term use. Mining should be as far as possible be eco-friendly. Integration of rehabilitation strategies with mining plan will enable speedy restoration.

75. Efforts should to taken to aesthetically improve the mine site. Generally, there are two approaches to restoration i.e Ecological approach which allows tolerant species to establish following the succession process allowing pioneer species to establish. The other approach i.e plantation approach is with selected native species are planted. A blend of both methods may be used to restore the site by adding soil humus and mycorrhiza.
76. Action taken for restoration of the site should be specifically mentioned in the EC compliances.

#### Annexure 'B'

##### Cluster Management Committee

1. Cluster Management Committee shall be framed which must include all the proponents in the cluster as members including the existing as well as proposed quarry.
2. The members must coordinate among themselves for the effective implementation of EMP as committed including Green Belt Development, Water sprinkling, tree plantation, blasting etc.,
3. The List of members of the committee formed shall be submitted to AD/Mines before the execution of mining lease and the same shall be updated every year to the AD/Mines.
4. Detailed Operational Plan must be submitted which must include the blasting frequency with respect to the nearby quarry situated in the cluster, the usage of haul roads by the individual quarry in the form of route map and network.
5. The committee shall deliberate on risk management plan pertaining to the cluster in a holistic manner especially during natural calamities like intense rain and the mitigation measures considering the inundation of the cluster and evacuation plan.
6. The Cluster Management Committee shall form Environmental Policy to practice sustainable mining in a scientific and systematic manner in accordance with the law. The role played by the committee in implementing the environmental policy devised shall be given in detail.
7. The committee shall furnish action plan regarding the restoration strategy with respect to the individual quarry falling under the cluster in a holistic manner.
8. The committee shall furnish the Emergency Management plan within the cluster.
9. The committee shall deliberate on the health of the workers/staff involved in the mining as well as the health of the public.
10. The committee shall furnish an action plan to achieve sustainable development goals with reference to water, sanitation & safety.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

11. The committee shall furnish the fire safety and evacuation plan in the case of fire accidents.

**Impact study of mining**

12. Detailed study shall be carried out in regard to impact of mining around the proposed mine lease area covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued from reputed research institutions on the following

- a) Soil health & soil biological, physical land chemical features .
- b) Climate change leading to Droughts, Floods etc.
- c) Pollution leading to release of Greenhouse gases (GHG), rise in Temperature, & Livelihood of the local people.
- d) Possibilities of water contamination and impact on aquatic ecosystem health.
- e) Agriculture, Forestry & Traditional practices.
- f) Hydrothermal/Geothermal effect due to destruction in the Environment.
- g) Bio-geochemical processes and its foot prints including environmental stress.
- h) Sediment geochemistry in the surface streams.

**Agriculture & Agro-Biodiversity**

13. Impact on surrounding agricultural fields around the proposed mining Area.

14. Impact on soil flora & vegetation around the project site.

15. Details of type of vegetations including no. of trees & shrubs within the proposed mining area and. If so, transplantation of such vegetations all along the boundary of the proposed mining area shall committed mentioned in EMP.

16. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study the biodiversity, the natural ecosystem, the soil micro flora, fauna and soil seed banks and suggest measures to maintain the natural Ecosystem.

17. Action should specifically suggest for sustainable management of the area and restoration of ecosystem for flow of goods and services.

18. The project proponent shall study and furnish the impact of project on plantations in adjoining patta lands, Horticulture, Agriculture and livestock.

**Forests**

19. The project proponent shall detailed study on impact of mining on Reserve forests free ranging wildlife.

20. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on forest, vegetation, endemic, vulnerable and endangered indigenous flora and fauna.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

21. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on standing trees and the existing trees should be numbered and action suggested for protection.
22. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on protected areas, Reserve Forests, National Parks, Corridors and Wildlife pathways, near project site.

#### **Water Environment**

23. Hydro-geological study considering the contour map of the water table detailing the number of ground water pumping & open wells, and surface water bodies such as rivers, tanks, canals, ponds etc. within 1 km (radius) so as to assess the impacts on the nearby waterbodies due to mining activity. Based on actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether working will intersect groundwater. Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided, covering the entire mine lease period.
24. Erosion Control measures.
25. Detailed study shall be carried out in regard to impact of mining around the proposed mine lease area on the nearby Villages, Water-bodies/ Rivers, & any ecological fragile areas.
26. The project proponent shall study impact on fish habitats and the food WEB/ food chain in the water body and Reservoir.
27. The project proponent shall study and furnish the details on potential fragmentation impact on natural environment, by the activities.
28. The project proponent shall study and furnish the impact on aquatic plants and animals in water bodies and possible scars on the landscape, damages to nearby caves, heritage site, and archaeological sites possible land form changes visual and aesthetic impacts.
29. The Terms of Reference should specifically study impact on soil health, soil erosion, the soil physical, chemical components and microbial components.
30. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study on wetlands, water bodies, rivers streams, lakes and farmer sites.

#### **Energy**

31. The measures taken to control Noise, Air, Water, Dust Control and steps adopted to efficiently utilise the Energy shall be furnished.

#### **Climate Change**

32. The Environmental Impact Assessment shall study in detail the carbon emission and also suggest the measures to mitigate carbon emission including development of carbon sinks and temperature reduction including control of other emission and climate mitigation activities.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

33. The Environmental Impact Assessment should study impact on climate change, temperature rise, pollution and above soil & below soil carbon stock.

**Mine Closure Plan**

34. Detailed Mine Closure Plan covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued.

**EMP**

35. Detailed Environment Management Plan along with adaptation, mitigation & remedial strategies covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued.

36. The Environmental Impact Assessment should hold detailed study on EMP with budget for Green belt development and mine closure plan including disaster management plan.

**Risk Assessment**

37. To furnish risk assessment and management plan including anticipated vulnerabilities during operational and post operational phases of Mining.

**Disaster Management Plan**

38. To furnish disaster management plan and disaster mitigation measures in regard to all aspects to avoid/reduce vulnerability to hazards & to cope with disaster/untoward accidents in & around the proposed mine lease area due to the proposed method of mining activity & its related activities covering the entire mine lease period as per precise area communication order issued.

**Others**

39. The project proponent shall furnish VAO certificate with reference to 300m radius regard to approved habitations, schools, Archaeological sites, Structures, railway lines, roads, water bodies such as streams, odai, vaari, canal, channel, river, lake pond, tank etc.

40. As per the MoEF& CC office memorandum F.No.22-65/2017-IA.III dated: 30.09.2020 and 20.10.2020 the proponent shall address the concerns raised during the public consultation and all the activities proposed shall be part of the Environment Management Plan.

41. The project proponent shall study and furnish the possible pollution due to plastic and microplastic on the environment. The ecological risks and impacts of plastic & microplastics on aquatic environment and fresh water systems due to activities, contemplated during mining may be investigated and reported.

**Annexure 'C'**

**Climate Change**

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

1. The proponent shall adopt strategies to decarbonize the building.
2. The proponent shall adopt strategies to reduce emissions during operation (operational phase and building materials).
3. The proponent shall adopt strategies to reduce temperature including the Building Façade.
4. The proponent shall adopt methodology to control thermal environment and other shocks in the building.
5. The proponent shall adopt detailed plan to reduce carbon footprints and also develop strategies for climate proofing and climate mitigation.
6. The proponent shall adopt strategies to ensure the buildings in blocks are not trapping heat to become local urban heat islands.
7. The proponent shall ensure that the building does not create artificial wind tunnels creating cold water and uncomfortable living conditions resulting in health issues.
8. The activities should in no way cause emission and build-up Green House Gases. All actions to be eco-friendly and support sustainable management of the natural resources within and outside the campus premises.
9. The proponent shall ensure that the buildings should not cause any damage to water environment, air quality and should be carbon neutral building.

**Health**

10. The proponent shall adopt strategies to maintain the health of the inhabitants.

**Energy**

11. The proponent shall adopt strategies to reduce electricity demand and consumption.
12. The proponent shall provide provisions for automated energy efficiency.
13. The proponent shall provide provisions for controlled ventilation and lighting systems.
14. The proponent shall provide solar panels and contribute to the grid from the solar panel as proposed.
15. All the construction of Buildings shall be energy efficient and conform to the green building norms. The PP shall ensure that carbon neutral building.
16. The proponent shall provide adequate capacity of DG set (standby) for the proposed STP so as to ensure continuous and efficient operation.

**Regulatory Frameworks**

17. The proponent shall adopt methodologies to effectively implement the Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016, E-Waste (Management) Rules, 2016, Plastic Waste Management

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN



Rules, 2016 as amended, Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules, 2016 as amended, Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 as amended, Construction and Demolition Waste Management Rules, 2016, & Batteries (Management and Handling) Rules, 2001.

18. The project proponent shall ensure to provide adequate elevated closed area earmarked for collection, segregation, storage & disposal of wastes generated within the premises as per provisions of Solid Waste Management Rules, 2016, E-Waste (Management) Rules, 2016, Plastic Waste Management Rules, 2016 as amended, Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules, 2016 as amended, Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016 as amended, Construction and Demolition Waste Management Rules, 2016, & Batteries (Management and Handling) Rules, 2001.
19. The proponent shall provide elevator as per rules CMDA/DTCP.

**Database maintenance & audits**

20. The database record of environmental conditions of all the events from pre-construction, construction and post-construction should be maintained in digitized format.
21. The proponent should maintain environmental audits to measure and mitigate environmental concerns.

**Biodiversity**

22. There should not be any impact due to the modification of the habitat on critically endangered species, biodiversity, etc.,.
23. The proponent shall ensure that the proposed activities in no way result in the spread of invasive species.
24. The proponent shall adopt sustainability criteria to protect the micro environment from wind turbulences and change in aerodynamics since high rise buildings may stagnate air movements.
25. The proponent shall ensure almost safety for the existing biodiversity, trees, flora & fauna shall not disturb under any circumstances.
26. The proponent shall develop building-friendly pest control strategies by using non chemical measures so as to control the pest population thereby not losing beneficial organisms.
27. The proponent shall adopt strategies to prevent bird hits.

**Safety measures**

28. The proponent should develop an emergency response plan in addition to the disaster management plan.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

29. The proponent shall develop detailed evacuation plan for disabled people and safety evacuation plan in emergencies.
30. All bio-safety standards, hygienic standards and safety norms of working staff and patients to be strictly followed as stipulated in EIA/EMP.
31. The disaster management and disaster mitigation standards to be seriously adhered to avoid any calamities.
32. The proponent shall provide the emergency exit in the buildings.
33. The proponent shall adhere to the provision and norms regard to fire safety prescribed by competent authority.

**Water/Sewage**

34. The proponent shall ensure that no treated or untreated sewage shall be let outside the project site & shall find access to nearby water-bodies under any circumstances other than the permitted mode of disposal.
35. The proponent shall provide STP of adequate capacity as committed and shall continuously & efficiently operate STP so as to satisfy the treated sewage discharge standards prescribed by the TNPCB time to time.
36. The proponent shall periodically test the treated sewage the through TNPCB lab /NABL accredited laboratory and submit report to the TNPCB.
37. The proponent shall periodically test the water sample for the general water quality core parameters including fecal coliform within the proposed project site through TNPCB lab /NABL accredited laboratory and submit report to the concerned authorities.
38. The proponent shall ensure that provision should be given for proper utilization of recycled water.
39. The project proponent shall adhere to storm water management plan as committed.

**Parking**

40. The project proponent shall adhere to provide adequate parking space for visitors of all inmates including clean traffic plan as committed.

**Solid waste Management**

41. The proponent shall ensure that no form of municipal solid waste shall be disposed outside the proposed project site at any time.
42. The proponent should strictly comply with, Tamil Nadu Government order regarding ban on one time use and throwaway plastics irrespective of thickness with effect from 01.01.2019 under Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

44. The proponent shall ensure that all activities of EMP shall be completed before obtaining CTO from TNPCB.

45. The proponent shall provide and ensure the green belt plan is implemented as indicated in EMP. Also, the proponent shall explore possibilities to provide sufficient grass lawns.


**Others**

46. As per the 'Polluter Pay Principle', the proponent will be held responsible for any environmental damage caused due to the proposed activity including withdrawal of EC and stoppage of work.

47. The project proponent shall adhere to height of the buildings as committed.

Agenda No	Description	File No.	Minutes
1.	Proposed Rough Stone, Jelly & Gravel quarry lease over an extent of 1.28.5 Ha at SF.Nos. 207/3A, 3B, 209/1A,1B, 210/1A1, 1A2, 1A3 & 211/3A1, 3A2 of Appayanaickenpatti Village, Vembakottai Taluk, Virudhunagar District Tamil Nadu by Thiru.S.Ramraj - for Environmental Clearance.	9134	Based on the NGT court order (SZ) (Appeal No.40 of 2023), which states that, <i>"... In such circumstances, without going into further details on merits, we are inclined to set aside the order passed by the SEIAA - Tamil Nadu dated 07.09.2023 and 06.10.2023 and remit the matter back to the SEIAA Tamil Nadu for fresh - consideration.</i> <i>Accordingly, the impugned orders are set aside and remitted back to the SEIAA - Tamil Nadu to consider the proposal of the appellant dated 22.05.2023 within a period of (1) One month".</i> In the view of the above, Authority after detailed deliberation decided to forward the court direction to SEAC further course of action and direction.
2.	Proposed Rough Stone and Gravel quarry over an extent of 2.29.5 Ha in	10317	The Authority noted that the Hon'ble National Green Tribunal (SZ) in its order dated:10 <sup>th</sup> January, 2024 of

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

	S.F.No. 271/6, 272/2(P), 272/3 & 272/4(P) of Thoppampalayam Village, Sathyamangalam Taluk, Erode District, Tamil Nadu by Thiru. N. Thangavel - - for Environmental Clearance.		Appeal No.41/2023 has directed the SEIAA-TN to consider the Proponent's proposal bearing Proposal No.SIA/TN/MIN/440129 dated:11.08.2023. After detailed discussions, the Authority decided to forward the proposal to SEAC-TN based on the court direction for further course of action.
3.	Proposed Rough Stone quarry lease area over an extent of 2.50.0 Ha (Government Poramboke land) at S.F.No. 603/1 (Part-A) of Panchakshipuram Village, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District, Tamil Nadu by M/s. S.S.V Blue Metals - For Environmental Clearance.	9261	After detailed discussion, the authority noted as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The project proponent, M/s. S.S.V Blue Metals has applied for Environmental Clearance for the Proposed Rough Stone quarry lease area over an extent of 2.50.0 Ha (Government Poramboke land) at S.F.No. 603/1 (Part-A) of Panchakshipuram Village, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District.</li> <li>2. Earlier, the subject was placed in the 676<sup>th</sup> authority meeting held on 28.11.2023. The authority noted that the subject was appraised in the 421<sup>st</sup> SEAC meeting held on 08.11.2023. SEAC has furnished its recommendations for granting Environmental Clearance subject to the conditions stated therein. After detailed discussions, the Authority in its meeting dated 28.11.2023, taking into account the recommendations of SEAC and also the safety aspects and to ensure sustainable, scientific and systematic mining, decided to grant Environmental Clearance for the quantity of 3,75,930 m<sup>3</sup> of Rough Stone up to the ultimate depth of 46m (5m AGL + 41m BGL) and the annual peak</li> </ol>

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN

conditions imposed by SEAC, normal conditions stipulated by MOEF&CC in addition to the conditions stated therein and the conditions in Annexure 'A' of this minutes.

3. Meanwhile, W.P. No. 33990 of 2023 was filed by the petitioner Thiru.S.Ramesh and Thiru.N.Venkatesamy in the Hon'ble High Court of Madras and the Writ Petition Affidavit copy received vide this office mail dated 08.12.2023. The petitioner has raised the complaint against M/s. S.S.V Blue Metals represented by Proprietor Thiru. R. Rajasekaran, Panchakshipuram Village, Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District. Hence, the issue of Environmental Clearance has been put on hold.
4. In this regard, Counter Affidavit was filed in the Hon'ble High Court of Madras, dated 11.01.2024.

In view of the above, the Authority decided to refer back the proposal to SEAC to furnish the remarks.

  
MEMBER SECRETARY

  
MEMBER

  
CHAIRMAN  
SEIAA-TN